



**University of  
Zurich**<sup>UZH</sup>

**Zurich Open Repository and  
Archive**

University of Zurich  
University Library  
Strickhofstrasse 39  
CH-8057 Zurich  
[www.zora.uzh.ch](http://www.zora.uzh.ch)

---

Year: 2016

---

## **The dark side of the red ape: male-mediated lethal female competition in Bornean orangutans**

Marzec, Anna M ; Kunz, Julia A ; Falkner, Sonja ; Atmoko, Sri Suci Utami ; Alavi, Shauhin E ;  
Moldawer, Alyse M ; Vogel, Erin R ; Schuppli, Caroline ; van Schaik, Carel P ; van Noordwijk, Maria A

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-015-2053-3>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-122330>

Journal Article

Accepted Version

Originally published at:

Marzec, Anna M; Kunz, Julia A; Falkner, Sonja; Atmoko, Sri Suci Utami; Alavi, Shauhin E; Moldawer, Alyse M; Vogel, Erin R; Schuppli, Caroline; van Schaik, Carel P; van Noordwijk, Maria A (2016). The dark side of the red ape: male-mediated lethal female competition in Bornean orangutans. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 70(4):459-466.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-015-2053-3>

**The dark side of the red ape: Male-mediated lethal female competition in Bornean  
orangutans**

Anna M. Marzec<sup>1</sup>, Julia A. Kunz<sup>1</sup>, Sonja Falkner<sup>1</sup>, Sri Suci Utami Atmoko<sup>2</sup>, Shauhin E.  
Alavi<sup>3</sup>, Alysse M. Moldawer<sup>3</sup>, Erin R. Vogel<sup>3</sup>, Caroline Schuppli<sup>1</sup>, Carel P. van Schaik<sup>1</sup>,  
Maria A. van Noordwijk<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Anthropological Institute and Museum, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190  
CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Universitas Nasional Jakarta, Jl. Sawo Manila, Jakarta 12520, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Department of Anthropology, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, 32 Bishop  
Street, Biological Science Bldg, Room 307, New Brunswick NJ, 08901-1414, USA

Accepted in Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology

Corresponding author: Anna Marzec

Tel.: +41 44 635 54 36 / Fax: +41 44 635 68 04

e-mail: anna.marzec@aim.uzh.ch

**Acknowledgments**

We gratefully acknowledge the Indonesian Institute of Science (LIPI), the Indonesian  
State Ministry for Research and Technology (RISTEK), the Director General Departemen  
Kehutanan (PHKA), Departamen Dalam Negri, the local government in Central Kalimantan,  
the BKSDA Palangkaraya, the Bornean Orangutan Survival Foundation (BOSF) and  
MAWAS in Palangkaraya for their permission and support to conduct this research. We also  
thank the Fakultas Biologi Universitas Nasional (UNAS) in Jakarta for their collaboration and  
support for the Tuanan project and in particular Dr. Tatang Mitra Setia. We are indebted to  
the Tuanan field team for their contribution to data collection, in particular Pak Rahmatd, Pak  
Yandi, Tono, Idun, Kumpo, Suwi, Abuk and Wilhelm Osterman as well as many local and  
foreign students and their financial supporters. For major financial support we thank the  
University of Zurich, the A.H. Schultz Stiftung, Philadelphia ZOO as well as USAID (APS-  
497-11-000001 to E.R.V). This research complied with the current national laws of Indonesia.  
We also thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful feedback.

**Key words: orangutan, lethal aggression, coalitionary attack, female-female competition, male support**

## **Abstract**

Female Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*) are mainly solitary and philopatric, leading to adult female relatives sharing adjacent and overlapping home ranges. Females tend to be intolerant of unrelated females, with whom they also may have overlapping home ranges. However, fights that lead to injuries are extremely rare and lethal aggression had never been observed. Here, we report the first case of lethal female-female aggression during over 26,000 hours of focal data collected on adult females at Tuanan, Central Kalimantan: A young female, who had recently lost her infant attacked an old resident female. The interaction's unique feature was that the attacking female was supported by an unflanged male, who had been in consort with her during the week preceding the attack and was responsible for the lethal injuries to the victim. The victim received protection from a flanged male who was probably attracted to the noise generated by the fight. We conclude that even in a species in which coercion is frequently observed in male-female interactions, female leverage over males can coax males into providing services, such as coalitionary support.

## **Significance statement**

In this paper we report the first observed case of female-female lethal aggression in orangutans. This case was extraordinary because the attacking young female recruited the help of a male, who caused the injuries that eventually killed the old, resident female. The old female that was attacked subsequently received protection from a male. The males were thus in effect acting as hired guns. The effective recruitment of males into conflicts between females is novel, and unique among apes. It shows an unsuspected degree of leverage of

sexually attractive females over unrelated males and can coax males into providing services, such as coalitionary support, in a species otherwise better known for their sexual coercion by males.

## Introduction

Aggression serves ultimately to gain access to limiting resources (Wilson 1975). Although aggression among primates is frequent, lethal attacks are very rare. Young infants are the most likely victims of such attacks in many primate species (van Schaik 2000), whereas weaned individuals are far less likely to be a target of lethal aggression. Among adults, escalated dyadic fights can turn lethal, as when males attempt to take over a group controlled by another male (e.g. Wich and Sterck 2007), but in the majority of lethal attacks on mature conspecifics, the aggressors attack together and outnumber the victims. Indeed, such joint coalitionary attacks have been reported in chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*: Mitani et al. 2010; Wilson et al. 2014), red colobus (*Procolobus badius*: Starin 1994), capuchin monkeys (*Cebus* spp.: Miller 1998; Gros-Louis et al. 2003; Scarry and Tujague 2012), muriquis (*Brachyteles arachnoides*: Talebi et al. 2009) and spider monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi*; Campbell 2006; Valero et al. 2006). In most cases mature victims were killed by same-sex coalitions, and most victims were males. In the best-studied cases, involving chimpanzees, attackers greatly outnumber victims, and favor unprovoked, ‘surprise’ aggression. Both tactics serve to reduce individual risk through use of an imbalance of power (Wrangham 1999; Wrangham and Glowacki 2012). A major feature of these joint coalitional killings is, that the attackers ignore victim’s signals of submission and seem to be focused on inflicting wounds rather than just chasing the opponent away from the resource (e.g. food or mate).

Here we report a case of lethal aggression in Bornean orangutans that deviates from this typical pattern of joint coalitionary attacks. Instead, males joined ongoing female-female agonistic interactions. Even though males sometimes join or interfere in such interactions in

other primates (e.g. Gouzoules 1980; Seyfarth 1978), these cases have so far never been reported to result in the death of a female victim. Here two males were involved: one provided active support for the aggressor and the other protected the victim.

Female Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*) are philopatric, whereas males disperse from their natal range (Morrogh-Bernard et al. 2011; Arora et al. 2012; van Noordwijk et al. 2012). Female home ranges are relatively small (around 300 ha in Tuanan, Central Kalimantan) and stable over time (Wartmann et al. 2010). Maternally related females (mothers, daughters, half-sisters and their offspring, which know each other since birth) tend to have adjacent and overlapping ranges and form social clusters (Arora et al. 2012; van Noordwijk et al. 2012), as in Sumatran orangutans (*Pongo abelii*: Singleton and van Schaik 2002). Related females spend more time in association and are more tolerant towards each other than to unrelated females with similar home range overlap (van Noordwijk et al. 2012). Nonetheless, home ranges, as well as core areas (>50% use) of related and unrelated females (here defined as females descended from different maternal grandmothers) may overlap to a similar degree in Tuanan (Wartmann et al. 2010; van Noordwijk et al. 2012), in apparent contrast to some other Bornean sites (Knott et al. 2008, 2010).

Although between-matriline intolerance resulted in a few observed cases of female inflicted non-lethal injuries in Sumatran orangutans (SSUA unpublished data), only 6 female-female attacks with physical contact have been observed in Tuanan in 11 years of study. In one of these both the aggressor and the victim of the encounter described here were involved. However, none of these 6 attacks resulted in visible injuries (current study: Table A Supplement). Given the modest intensity of aggression and low incidence of wounding when a female attacks another female independently, support by a male, with their much longer canines, may strongly increase the severity of injuries.

## Methods

The lethal attack took place at Tuanan (2°15' South, 114°44' East), which is situated in the Mawas Reserve, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. The research area consists of 750 ha of peat-swamp forest, previously subjected to commercial selective logging in the 1990's, and recovering since then. The orangutans live at a density of approximately 4.5 individuals per square kilometer (van Schaik et al. 2005), which is among the highest in Borneo (Husson et al. 2009). All resident individual orangutans in Tuanan are habituated.

Orangutans are followed during nest-to-nest follows, whenever possible for a maximum of 10 consecutive days, using focal animal sampling following standardized protocols (*cf.* van Schaik 1999; <http://www.aim.uzh.ch/research/orangutannetwork/sfm.html>). It was not possible to record data blind because our study involved focal animals in the field. Observers frequently conduct inter-observer reliability tests. This population has been intensively studied since 2003, and most of the individuals, especially the resident females and their offspring have been observed since this time. Their relatedness, social relationships and ranging patterns are already largely known (Arora et al. 2012; van Noordwijk et al. 2012; Ashbury 2013). By the time of the attack on July 13, 2014, over 26,000 hours of focal data had been collected on adult females.

### The participants

Four adult individuals, two females and two males, as well as one immature male were involved in the attacks reported here (Table 1). Kondor, the attacker, is a young female who was known since 2003 when she was estimated to be around 4-5 y old and still nursed by her mother. She gave birth to her first infant in February 2012, but it died 6-9 weeks before the attack. In the 2 weeks before the attack Kondor was regularly seen in association with various flanged and unflanged males. Just before the attack she had been followed for seven consecutive days, during the last five of which she was in a consortship with unflanged male Ekko.

Sidony, the victim, was an adult, resident female ranging in the southeastern part of the study area. To our knowledge, unlike most other females in the study population, Sidony's home range did not overlap with those of adult female maternal relatives. She had at least two daughters; the younger, adolescent one had not yet settled in her own range, while the older one, born around 1997, has not been seen with certainty since early 2006. Despite her advanced age, Sidony had a healthy 4-y old son at the time of the attack. She spent little time in association with her unrelated female neighbors (0.3% of her 768 hours of focal time up to the attack, compared to almost 2% on average for females with maternally related neighbors (see also Fig. 1), despite their overlapping home ranges (van Noordwijk et al. 2012). Only two previous encounters between Sidony and Kondor were witnessed, and these occurred when Kondor was still an adolescent. During one of these encounters in 2009 Sidony chased, hit and bit Kondor, who was repeatedly approaching Sidony's ca. 7-y old daughter, whereas Kondor persisted in her attempts to approach. Thus, the two females had already a history of aggressive interactions.

Ekko has been regularly recorded throughout the study area since 2003, and by 2014 he was larger in body size than all known females and dominant over all other regularly seen unflanged males. Moreover, changes in his facial features as well as behavior suggest that he was already in the process of growing flanges (by August 2015 he had fully developed flanges) (*cf.* Dunkel et al. 2013; Marty et al. 2015). Over the years, Ekko was often observed in association with resident females, (during 31.5% of 534 hours of focal follow hours on Ekko since 2003), including Sidony.

The final participant, flanged male Guapo, was first seen in the area in 2007, and again in 2012. Since then he was recorded only rarely (on average twice a year). However, Guapo has sired two offspring with females ranging at the periphery of the study area before the start of observations in 2003. Neither Guapo nor Ekko sired any of Sidony's known offspring; and

the mature participants in the attack were not related (M. Krützen, pers. comm.; see also Arora et al. 2012).

## Results

We distinguish two phases of the encounter: before and after the arrival of the flanged male. Fig. 2 provides a timeline of the attack (see also Table B in the supplementary material for a detailed description of the encounter).

### Phase I: Coalitionary attack

During a focal follow of the female Kondor and the unflanged male Ekko, who were in association, they encountered (defined as an approach within 50m) Sidony and Sony in the core area of Sidony's home range. Initially, Kondor and Ekko approached to within 10 m and Sidony did not retreat right away. After about 10 minutes, Ekko sexually inspected Sidony but returned to Kondor to mate with her, whereas Sidony started to move away. Kondor then interrupted her mating with Ekko, approached Sidony and physically attacked her. Immediately Ekko joined Kondor in the attack, which continued for 33 minutes (see Fig. 2) with two brief interruptions. During the first fight, Kondor and Ekko took turns attacking Sidony for 12 minutes. When one was involved in physical aggression the other watched and blocked the victim's escape, leaving no more than 45 seconds pause in between. In addition, on two occasions, Kondor and Ekko simultaneously attacked the victim. The attack therefore was coalitionary, continuous and coordinated. Two subsequent attacks took place, lasting 9 and 7 minutes, respectively. All attack sessions were initiated by Kondor, but Ekko inflicted the most serious injuries and was most effective in denying Sidony the chance to escape.

### Phase II Intervention



The dynamics of the fight changed when the flanged male Guapo arrived, from likely >100 m away, because Ekko retreated from the fight location (>10 but still <50m distance). Guapo briefly chased Ekko, and then mated with Sidony, while Kondor continued to harass her and even bit Sidony in her foot. Whenever Kondor attacked Sidony, Sidony would scream, which prompted Guapo to approach and either move toward Kondor, position himself between the females, or move away together with Sidony. Whereas 85% of the attacks were physical in phase I, only 2% were physical in phase II, after Guapo's arrival (Table 2). Moreover, all remaining attacks in phase II were by Kondor alone. Sidony sustained major injuries in phase I but only minor scratches and bites in phase II. This confirms that Ekko caused the most serious injuries and that Guapo was effective in protecting Sidony against additional damaging attacks.

About 45 minutes after the last attack (Fig. 2), Sidony began building a nest, while all other participants were feeding. All individuals made a nest within 50m of each other, with Guapo in between Sidony and Kondor whereas Ekko's nest was farther away.

Table 1 Participants of the attack

Individual	Class	Age	Known <sup>a</sup> since	Role in the attack
Sidony	adult female with infant	>35	2007	Target of the aggression; suffered fatal injuries; protected her infant and tried to escape multiple times, but did not actively defend herself
Sony	male dependent immature	4.5	Born March 2010	Sidony's son; not the target of the attack; not injured; after Guapo arrived also involved in protecting his mother (only against Kondor)
Kondor	young female	c. 15	2003	Main aggressor: initiated, sustained and prolonged the aggression; inflicted a number of injuries
Ekko	unflanged male	>25	2003	Aggressor: supported Kondor; inflicted number of major and life-threatening injuries
Guapo	flanged male	>35	2007	"Defender": protected the victim in active, but non-aggressive way; his intervention kept Ekko at bay and reduced the physical aggression directed at the victim by Kondor

<sup>a</sup> Reliably recognized and subject to focal follows when found

203 Table 2 Result of flanged male intervention

	Before intervention	After intervention
Duration of aggression	33 min	61 min
Total time of physical aggression	28 min	1min 20s
Aggressors	Ekko, Kondor	Kondor
Type of attack	continuous, coordinated and coalitionary	brief, single attacks
Type of injuries	serious wounds resulting from bites on arms and legs; bites and scratches on the back and head	minor bite wounds on hands and feet
Prevented and interrupted attacks	None	All
Unsuccessful attacks	None	Many

204

205 Fig. 1 Comparison of the % of nest-to-nest follow days that a female with 0-3 y old infant was


206 not in association with any other independently traveling individual, with a male (unflanged or

207 flanged) or with another female (with or without offspring) or adolescent. Females are in order


208 of known parity: Kondor with 1 infant (died), Desy and Juni with 2, and Jinak and Sidony with

209 more. Note on the same day a female can be in association with males and females Kondor vs

210 Juni days with female association  $\chi^2 = 4.58$ ; df= 1; P=0.03



214 Fig. 2 Diagram of the aggression



215

216

### 217 Subsequent events

218 On the morning of day 2, Kondor left her nest and immediately approached Sidony, but  
 219 Guapo intervened instantly and mated with the victim. Ekko left the association in the  
 220 morning. Kondor kept trying to attack Sidony but Guapo intervened every time and  
 221 interrupted physical contact between the females. Kondor left Sidony (>50m) at the end of the  
 222 day and was followed by Guapo. Both Sidony and Sony frequently groomed and licked her  
 223 wounds.

224 On day 3, Kondor came back to Sidony twice and tried to attack, but Guapo followed  
 225 Kondor and intervened. All of his interventions were non-aggressive, as on previous  
 226 occasions. Kondor eventually left, followed by Guapo. Guapo was not observed to mate with  
 227 Kondor that or any other day following the attack.

228 On day 5, Ekko came back alone to the location of the attack, where Sidony was still  
 229 present, since she had not moved. Ekko did not attack her. On day 6, Ekko revisited the  
 230 location of the attack again and watched Sidony for 30 minutes from the distance of 15m. He  
 231 did not approach her nor was he aggressive towards her.

On day 10, Sidony's offspring Sony left and nested alone over 100m away from his mother and did not approach within 50m for the subsequent days. Sidony was last seen alive on day 12. She was found dead on the ground on day 16. The stage of decomposition suggested she died one or at most two days before she was found, about two weeks after the attack.

Sidony's infant Sony has been seen since the attack, and was observed in March and April 2015 in association with his adolescent sister, indicating he had survived without his mother. He was around 4.5-y old at the time of her death and not yet weaned, whereas so far the youngest known immature to be completely weaned in Tuanan was 5.5-6 years (van Noordwijk et al. 2013). Kondor has frequently been seen throughout the study area since the attack. She showed clear sign of pregnancy (and a human pregnancy test was positive) in November 2014, and gave birth in early April 2015. Therefore, she conceived around the time of the attack or shortly afterwards, and within only 2 – 3 months after losing her first offspring.

## **Discussion**

Lethal aggression among adult primates is rare. Individual attacks that turn lethal are most likely in territorial species (Palombit 1993), but orangutans are not territorial, and aggression among males is far more likely to escalate than that among females (Table A Supplement). Male-male fights in orangutans are always dyadic and are known to lead to facial scars, missing fingers and toes and even death (Knott 1998; Dunkel et al. 2013). In group-living species, dyadic conflicts, although much more common are much less likely to have lethal outcomes than conflicts involving same-sex coalitions, suggesting that the greater imbalance of power produced by the numerical asymmetries are a key factor.

The orangutan case reported here in which a female was the victim, does not comfortably fit the pattern of joint coalitional killings seen in other primates. The attack

involved between-sex coalitions, with males providing agonistic support to the females: one supporting the aggressor and other protecting the victim. This is quite unexpected, as in wild orangutans males and females have never been reported to form coalitions before. Moreover, in this population females spend little time in association (Fig. 1) with males, except during a few months prior to conception (Mitra Setia et al. 2009), i.e. once per ca. 7 years. Although captive studies report male interventions in female conflicts, these were peaceful and tended to terminate aggression (Edwards and Snowdon 1980; Zucker 1987), whereas in another study the interventions in female-female agonism were by a female or a juvenile male (Tajima and Kurotori 2010). There are no reported cases of male intervention in female conflicts from the studies on wild orangutan populations. In fact, in Tuanan only one other male intervention in a between female conflict has been observed so far (B. Spillmann pers.comm.). Nonetheless, because females attacking alone are not known to severely injure other females, the explanation for the lethal outcome of the attack should be sought in its mixed-sex nature and imbalance of power during the first phase of the attack.

Fundamentally, the observed polyadic interaction is an expression of female-female competition as the aggression was initiated, prolonged and maintained by Kondor against Sidony. Indeed, Kondor continued with physical harassment even after the departure of her male coalition partner, while her partner, Ekko, was not aggressive towards Sidony during a subsequent encounter a few days after the attack, once Kondor was no longer in association with Ekko.

Previous observations at Tuanan showed that female-female competition over range use is intense. Adult female Bornean orangutans are not territorial, i.e. they do not have an 'exclusive use' area that is defended. Instead, they establish overlapping home ranges, where mothers spend much of their time alone and only in the company of their own (semi-) dependent offspring. Thus, within their overlapping ranges, females mostly do avoid close encounters especially across matriline (van Noordwijk et al. 2012). In 2002-2003 an adult

female (Sumi) with dependent offspring (Susi) lost her habitat due to logging, mining and fires. Over a period of several years she shifted her range into the study area where she had no maternal relatives (Arora et al. 2012). Sumi avoided close encounters by remaining very quiet, and upon discovery descended to the ground and moved away as inconspicuously as possible (*cf.* Ashbury et al. 2015). Even though the resident females chased her whenever they discovered her, Sumi always immediately fled and physical fights were never observed. Sumi eventually died in August 2006 as the result of the attack by a clouded leopard (SSUA unpublished data).

In the current case, the female-female interaction escalated between two residents in different phases of their lives, one old and one early in her reproductive career. Kondor, a young member of the largest local matriline, had recently lost her first infant. In general, adolescent females start to explore beyond their natal home range but settle where they least encountered other females, including their own mother, before the birth of their first offspring (Ashbury 2013). However, after the birth of her first infant, Kondor still ranged over a larger area than all other known females in similar reproductive state (AM et al. unpublished data). In addition, compared to other mothers with offspring under 3 years old during the same period (July 2010-July 2014), Kondor spent fewer days alone and she associated on many more days with other females and adolescents (Fig. 1). While social play is the major positive social interaction during associations of orangutan mother-offspring dyads (van Noordwijk et al. 2012) Kondor's high association rate was not due to more frequent social play with associates by Kondor and/or her offspring compared to other mother-offspring dyads (Kunz 2015). On the other hand, there is no evidence that Kondor was more often agonistically displaced by other females. Nevertheless, the high encounter frequency does suggest that Kondor was experiencing increased pressure from the other resident females and adolescents (mostly her known maternal relatives).

Infant mortality in wild orangutans is reported to be much lower than in other great apes (Wich et al. 2009). In the Tuanan population, Kondor's infant is the first to have died in 1084 infant observation months (including all pre-weaned known offspring) or a rate of 0.011 deaths / infant-year. Even though the circumstances of the loss of her infant remain unclear (it seemed healthy at last sighting), it is likely that Kondor's particular condition contributed to her unusual, aggressive behavior. Her consortship with a long-known partner may have contributed to her confidence to fiercely attack the female she had occasionally encountered before and was chased and hit by on at least one occasion when Kondor had just started to roam without her mother in 2009.

Without the involvement of Ekko, it is unlikely that Sidony would have incurred such severe wounds, and the fight would probably have ended within the first 7 minutes (see Table B Supplement), during one of the breaks in which Sidony started to move away. Ekko's active participation in the attack on Sidony can be interpreted as his investment in the consortship with Kondor. It should be noted that at no time did Ekko attempt to copulate with the victim (he had inspected her briefly before the attack and shown no further interest), and we can therefore reject the interpretation that his injuring Sidony represented extreme force during a forced mating attempt (which have also never been reported to lead to injury). The observation that Ekko did not attack or try to mate with Sidony a few days later when he was on his own supports this argument. Thus, Ekko's participation in the lethal aggression was not due to a mating conflict with Sidony but instead elicited by the presence and actions of the consorted female.

Ekko and Kondor were ranging together for five days before the attack. It is likely that to maintain this association and to increase his chances to sire Kondor's next offspring, which was conceived around that time, Ekko supported her during the attack. Benefits from maintaining a tolerant association with a female may include more cooperative mating opportunities, in contrast to coerced matings more often seen during short associations

(Dunkel et al. 2013). Therefore, Ekko's behavior can be explained as his investment in maintaining the association with Kondor. By supporting her and showing his fighting abilities he could influence her choice to willingly maintain her consortship with him.

Young females are known to use sexual proceptivity as a 'sexual passport' (e.g. Goodall 1986). By attracting males and associating with them, a young female gains safety to move throughout an area outside her natal range without being at risk of potential aggression from unfamiliar resident females (Ashbury 2013).

In the attack reported here Guapo intervened in the conflict and separated the aggressors and the victim multiple times over a period of three days. Guapo's intervention included threatening the unflanged male, which is nothing unusual as many male agonistic interactions start in the presence of a female (Utami Atmoko et al. 2009). Guapo also approached the female aggressor, positioning himself between the two females separating them and "guarding" the victim, again something not very unusual (e.g. Edwards and Snowden 1980). None of the actions against Kondor involved aggression on his part, but each either terminated the aggression or prevented physical contact between her and Sidony. A male's interest in securing his access to multiple females may explain Guapo's effort in protecting one female, with whom he may have had a long-term relationship without attacking the other, young one. Nevertheless, perhaps surprisingly, Guapo was not seen to mate with Kondor during or after the attack, nor did he remain in consortship with her after Guapo and Kondor left Sidony together on the third day.

## **Conclusion**

Male-female coalitions have not been described for wild orangutans, and must therefore be extremely rare. The most plausible interpretation of the lethal attack here is that males in consort with a female must not only show great tolerance, as when females take food from them (van Noordwijk and van Schaik 2009), but can also be recruited to support them in



their competition with other females, including participation in attacks. This suggests that fertile females have great leverage (Lewis 2002) over males, if only because they can end the association by attracting other males, and thus can elicit male services on her behalf, in the form of food sharing or agonistic support. This is all the more remarkable because of the huge sexual dimorphism and high potential for sexual coercion in orangutans, as reflected by the high proportion of matings that are forced (Fox 2002), especially on Borneo (Knott et al. 2010).

### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Funding: This study was funded by the University of Zurich (grant number not available), A.H. Schultz-Stiftung zur Förderung Primatologischer Forschung (grant number not available), United States Agency for International Development (US) (APS-497-11-000001) and Philadelphia Zoo (grant number not available).

Conflict of Interest: All authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval: All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of animals were followed. This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

### **References**

- Arora N, van Noordwijk MA, Ackermann C et al (2012) Parentage-based pedigree reconstruction reveals female matrilineal clusters and male-biased dispersal in nongregarious Asian great apes, the Bornean orang-utans (*Pongo pygmaeus*). *Mol Ecol* 21:3352-3362
- Ashbury A (2013) Not all who wander are lost: The socio-spatial dynamics of home range establishment among young female orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*) at Tuanan. MSc Thesis, University of Zurich
- Ashbury AM, Posa MRC, Dunkel LP, Spillmann B, Utami Atmoko SS, van Schaik CP, van Noordwijk MA (2015) Why do orangutans leave the trees? Terrestrial behavior among

389 wild Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*) at Tuanan, Central Kalimantan.  
390 Am J Primatol 77:1216-1229

391 Campbell CJ (2006) Lethal intragroup aggression by adult male spider monkeys (*Ateles*  
392 *geoffroyi*). Am J Primatol 68:1197-1201

393 Dunkel LP, Arora N, van Noordwijk MA, Utami Atmoko SS, Putra AP, Krützen M, van  
394 Schaik CP (2013) Variation in developmental arrest among male orangutans: a  
395 comparison between a Sumatran and a Bornean population. Front Zool 10:12

396 Edwards SD, Snowdon CT (1980) Social behavior of captive, group-living Orangutans. Int J  
397 Primatol 1:39-62

398 Fox EA (2002) Female tactics to reduce sexual harassment in the Sumatran orangutan (*Pongo*  
399 *pygmaeus abelii*). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 52:93-101

400 Goodall J (1986) The Chimpanzees of Gombe. Harvard University Press, Cambridge

401 Gouzoules H (1980) A description of genealogical rank changes in a troop of Japanese  
402 monkeys (*Macaca fuscata*). Primates 21:262-267

403 Gros-Louis J, Perry S, Manson J (2003) Violent coalitionary attacks and intraspecific killing  
404 in wild white-faced capuchin monkeys (*Cebus capucinus*) Primates 44:341-346

405 Husson SJ, Wich SA, Marshall AJ et al (2009) Orangutan distribution, density, abundance  
406 and impacts of disturbance. In: Wich SA, Utami Atmoko SS, Mitra Setia T, van Schaik  
407 CP (eds) Orangutans: Geographic variation in behavioral ecology and conservation,  
408 Oxford University Press, New York, pp 77-96

409 Knott CD (1998) Orangutans in the Wild. National Geographic,  
410 <http://ngm.nationalgeographic.com/2008/11/orangutans/knott-text>. Accessed 14 August  
411 2015.

412 Knott CD Beaudrot L, Snaith T, White S, Tschauner H, Planansky G (2008) Female-female  
413 competition in Bornean orangutans. Int J Primatol 29:975-997

414 Knott CD, Emery Thompson, Stumpf MR, McIntyre MH (2010) Female reproductive  
415 strategies in orangutans, evidence for female choice and counterstrategies to infanticide  
416 in a species with frequent sexual coercion. Proc R Soc Lond B 277:105-113

417 Kunz JA (2015) Ontogeny and variability of play behaviour in wild, immature Bornean  
418 (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*) and Sumatran orangutans (*Pongo abelii*). MSc Thesis,  
419 University of Zurich

420 Lewis RJ (2002) Beyond dominance: the importance of leverage. Q Rev Biol 77:149-164

421 Marty PR, Cadilek M, Dunkel LP, Agil M, Heistermann M, Willems EP, van Noordwijk MA,  
422 Weingrill T (2015) Endocrinological correlates of male bimaturism in wild Bornean  
423 orangutans. Am J Primatol 77:1170-1178

424 Miller L (1998) Fatal Attack among wedge-capped capuchins. *Folia Primatol* 69:89-92

425 Mitani JC, Watts DP, Amstler SJ (2010) Lethal intergroup aggression leads to territorial  
426 expansion in wild chimpanzees. *Curr Biol* 20:R507-R508

427 Mitra Setia T, Delgado RA, Utami Atmoko SS, Singleton I, van Schaik CP (2009) Social  
428 organization and male-female relationships. In: Wich SA, Utami Atmoko SS, Mitra  
429 Setia T, van Schaik CP (eds) *Orangutans: Geographic variation in behavioral ecology  
430 and conservation*, Oxford University Press, New York, pp 235-244

431 Morrogh-Bernard H, Morf N, Chivers D, Krützen M (2011) Dispersal Patterns of Orang-  
432 utans (*Pongo* spp.) in a Bornean Peat-swamp Forest. *Int J Primatol* 32:362-376

433 Palombit RA (1993) Lethal territorial aggression in a white-handed gibbon. *Am J Primatol*  
434 31:311-318

435 Scarry CJ, Tujague MP (2012) Consequences of lethal intragroup aggression and alpha male  
436 replacement on intergroup relations and home range use in tufted capuchin monkeys  
437 (*Cebus apella nigrinus*). *Am J Primatol* 74:804-810

438 Seyfarth RM (1978) Social relationships among adult male and female baboons. I. Behaviour  
439 during sexual consortship. *Behaviour* 64:204-226

440 Singleton I, van Schaik CP (2002) The social organisation of a population of Sumatran orang-  
441 utans. *Folia Primatol* 73:1-20

442 Starin ED (1994) Philopatry and affiliation among red colobus. *Behaviour* 130:253-270

443 Tajima T, Kurotori H (2010) Nonaggressive interventions by third parties in conflicts among  
444 captive Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus*). *Primates* 51:179-182

445 Talebi MG, Beltrão-Mendes R, Lee PC (2009) Intra-community coalitionary lethal attack of  
446 an adult male southern muriqui (*Brachyteles arachnoides*). *Am J Primatol* 71:860-867

447 Utami Atmoko SS, Singleton I, van Noordwijk MA, van Schaik CP, Mitra Setia T (2009)  
448 Male-male relationships in orangutans. In: Wich SA, Utami Atmoko SS, Mitra Setia T,  
449 van Schaik CP (eds) *Orangutans: Geographic variation in behavioral ecology and  
450 conservation*, Oxford University Press, New York, pp 225-233

451 Valero A, Schaffner CM, Vick LG, Aureli F, Ramos-Fernandez G (2006) Intragroup lethal  
452 aggression in wild spider monkeys. *Am J Primatol* 68:732-737

453 van Noordwijk MA, Arora N, Willems EP, Dunkel LP, Amda RN, Mardianah N, Ackermann  
454 C, Krützen M, Schaik CP (2012) Female philopatry and its social benefits among  
455 Bornean orangutans. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 66:823-834

456 van Noordwijk MA, van Schaik CP (2009) Intersexual food transfer among orangutans: do  
457 females test males for coercive tendency? *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 63:883-890

- van Noordwijk MA, Willems EP, Utami Atmoko SS, Kuzawa C, van Schaik CP (2013) Multi-year lactation and its consequences in Bornean orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus wurmbii*). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol* 67:805-814
- van Schaik CP (1999) The socioecology of fission-fusion sociality in Orangutans. *Primates* 40:69-86
- van Schaik CP (2000) Infanticide by male primates: the sexual selection hypothesis revisited. In: van Schaik CP, Janson CH (eds) *Infanticide by males and its implications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp 27-60
- van Schaik CP, Wich SA, Utami SS, Odom K (2005) A simple alternative to line transects of nests for estimating orangutan densities. *Primates* 46:249-254
- Wartmann FM, Purves RS, van Schaik CP (2010) Modelling ranging behaviour of female orang-utans: a case study in Tuanan, Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Primates* 51:119-130
- Wich SA, de Vries H, Ancrenaz M, Perkins L, Shumaker RW, Suzuki A, van Schaik CP (2009) Orangutan life history variation. In: Wich SA, Utami Atmoko SS, Mitra Setia T, van Schaik CP (eds) *Orangutans: Geographic variation in behavioral ecology and conservation*, Oxford University Press, New York, pp 65-75
- Wich S, Sterck E (2007) Familiarity and threat of opponents determine variation in Thomas langur (*Presbytis thomasi*) male behaviour during between-group encounters. *Behaviour* 144:1583-1598
- Wilson EO (1975) *Sociobiology*. Belknap Press, Cambridge, MA
- Wilson ML, Boesch C, Fruth B et al (2014) Lethal aggression in *Pan* is better explained by adaptive strategies than human impacts. *Nature* 513:414-417
- Wrangham RW (1999) Evolution of coalitionary killing. *Yearb Phys Anthropol* 42:1-30
- Wrangham RW, Glowacki L (2012) Intergroup aggression in chimpanzees and war in Nomadic hunter-gatherers. Evaluating the chimpanzee model. *Hum Nat* 23:5-29
- Zucker EL, (1987) Control of intragroup aggression by a captive male orangutan. *Zoo Biol* 6:219-223

Table A Total number of attacks with physical aggression in Tuanan 2003-2014 (reported attack not included)

Dyad	Attacks observed	Attacks w/injuries
male-male	17	2
male-female*	30	-
female-female	6	-
Total	53	2

\*all attacks related to sexual coercion

Table B Compilation of observational data and video recordings collected by multiple observers on different focal individuals involved in aggressive encounter. Orangutan names were shortened to two letter codes: adult female Sidony (SI) and her offspring Sony (SO), young female Kondor (KO), unflanged male Ekko (EK) and flanged male Guapo (GU)

start time	end time	observation	type of attack	injuries	duration
05:11		KO and EK departed from their nests after a copulation (too dark to see details), 2 observers with them as during a normal focal follow			
<b>START OF THE ASSOCIATION WITH ADULT FEMALE AND HER OFFSPRING</b>					
13:53		Association KO & EK with SI & SO started: observers hear noise of orangutan moving within 50m			
13:54	13:56	EK left KO and moved towards the noise			
13:57		EK came back to KO			
13:58		EK approached KO <2m			
13:59		KO moved away from EK and towards the noise, EK followed KO			
14:01		KO approached the association member - adult female with infant (SI and SO)			-
14:02		SI and KO <10m apart			
14:03		EK approached KO and 'sexually inspected' her (sniffed her vulva)			
14:04		EK tried to copulate with KO, KO moved away	absent	absent	
14:05		KO moved towards resting SI; EK followed KO, EK started to mate with KO; SI within 5m			

14:08		EK left KO and approached SI, EK lifted SI's leg and <b>sexually investigated</b> , KO watched			
14:09		KO moved towards EK and EK came back to her, EK started to copulate with KO again, she did not resist but still watched SI; SI also watched KO, they were <5m apart			
14:10	14:13	While KO and EK did copulate SI moved away, KO stopped the copulation, left EK and moved towards SI; SI moved away from KO and rested but still watched her, KO approached SI again, EK followed KO			
<b>START OF ATTACK NO 1</b>					
14:14	14:19	KO chased SI, SI fled, EK joined the chase; KO and EK approached and grabbed SI, EK pulled her down, SI felt to the ground; EK attacked SI on the ground and bit her, EK left SI and climbed up again, SI moved away on the ground while KO came down and approached her, KO bit SI many times holding her, they wrestled, KO hit SI in the head SI screamed, EK came and watched them from <1m but did not join. Note SO did cling to SI all the time, but was not attacked by either KO or EK			
14:20	14:22	KO still fought with SI on the ground, KO bit SI in the head, SI tried to protect herself but did not fight back, SI lied on her back and was strangled and pulled by KO, SI tried to escape, pulled her body up but KO brought her down to the ground; they stopped for a moment and separated, KO moved away first, then SI climbed up, but EK got in her way and attacked, then EK hit SI, she ran to the ground and tried to escape, KO and EK chased after her, EK grabbed SI first and started biting her leg and hand, KO watched	continuous and coordinated; initiator: KO; attack: KO, EK	severe	12min
14:22	14:25	KO and EK did not let SI run away, when EK attacked SI KO watched and stayed in SI's way preventing her escape, EK and KO took turns, when EK stopped physical aggression KO approached and bit SI again while EK watched and guarded the victim			
14:25	14:26	EK climbed up and pushed over dead tree while KO still on the ground bit and hit SI			
<b>END OF ATTACK NO 1</b>					
14:27		Fight stopped, KO left SI, SI climbed up and rested			
<b>START OF ATTACK NO 2</b>					
14:28	14:36	KO approached SI and EK followed, SI moved away climbing further up, KO followed first, but EK approached fast and KO let EK pass, EK got to SI first; second attack: EK pulled SI down, SI felt to the ground, KO attacked and bit SI many times, SI did not fight back, SO clings all the time, EK joined KO and hit and bit SI too, KO and EK pushed SI and for the first time both attacked her at the same time, SI tried to move away but KO followed her	continuous, coordinated; initiator: KO; participate: KO, EK	severe	9 min
<b>END OF ATTACK NO 2</b>					
14:37	14:38	SI rested with EK and KO on opposite sides			
<b>START OF ATTACK NO 3</b>					

14:39	14:41	EK <2m from SI, KO <5 above her. Third attack: KO moved towards SI, EK followed and approached SI first, grabbed and shook her, KO above them, EK let go and SI felt; SI moved away climbed up again, tried to escape, EK approached SI and bit her again; observers heart a noise of OU approaching within 50m, KO and EK stopped the attack and SI moved away, KO and EK followed SI and maintained proximity of <5m; SI had deep wound on her right leg			
14:42	14:43	EK approached and grabbed SI again, bit her while holding; KO joined, SI felt to the ground, EK stayed above while KO bit SI, SO clung and cried, SI screamed; SI moved away on the ground and climbed up, tried to leave, EK followed her KO also approached; observers again heart noise of other OU closer, within 30m; KO approached SI while EK travelled towards newcomer and almost immediately came back to SI	continuous, coordinated, initiator: KO, attack: KO, EK	severe	7 min
14:44	14:46	EK approached SI from below while KO was above her, EK pulled her to the ground, bit her and hit, held her hand so she could not escape (“coercive handhold”); there was a movement <10m away and attack stopped for 10 sec, all OU listened to the noise but EK still held SI by her wrist, he pulled her down again and bit, she felt but grabbed a branch before falling all the way to the ground, EK and SI wrestled but SI escaped from EK and moved away, EK followed her; the fight stopped again and orangutans separated, SI moved in opposite direction from KO and EK			
END OF ATTACK NO 3 AND ARRIVAL OF FLANGED MALE					
14:47	14:48	Flanged male (GU) arrived, GU approached SI, SI did not move away, GU long calls and sexually inspected SI, EK and KO approached, EK shook the tree and displayed, GU lefts SI and chased after EK	-	-	-
14:49		EK ran away, GU stopped chasing him but moved slowly towards EK, who ran further away; KO approached SI and watched her	-	-	-
14:50	14:58	GU came back and mated with SI, SI did cooperate; KO watched from <5m, she approached and bit SI's hands while GU still copulated with SI, GU protected SI: moved around blocking KO's access to SI, SO clung during whole episode	-	-	-
14:59		EK approached GU and SI, GU interrupted his mating, left SI and approached EK, at the same time KO approached SI and reached in her direction but was too far to grab her, then KO moved closer; SI vocalized and GU looked into KO's direction; KO stopped harassing SI	unsucessfull attempt	-	-
15:00	15:04	GU came back to SI, KO above <3m and EK <10m, KO moved towards SI and GU, GU gave a longcall, SI vocalized when KO approached <1m, SI stayed close to GU	-	-	-

15:05	15:09	SI and GU copulated again, KO watched and came closer, KO pulled SI's arm twice: first time shortly for 5 sec and second time she held it for about 35 sec, then KO sniffed and licked her fingers, she looked at SI's wound; KO bit SI's hand shortly (5 sec), SI screamed, KO moved back, GU long calls, KO moves closer again, SO throws himself at KO and tries to bite, KO moves back, GU moved away from SI after copulation finished, GU looked up, SI screamed agains and moved towards him, GU waited and then moved with SI (coordinated travel in contact) away from KO	three single and short attacks, KO	by KO: bite wound on the hand	45 sec
15:10	15:13	GU moved away and KO immediately approached SI, GU came back to SI, KO stops, this repeated several times and each time GU prevented KO from touching SI, just by being next to her	several unsuccessful attempts	-	-
15:14		SI rested, GU above her but in body contact, KO above GU	-	-	-
15:15	15:17	SI moved away from KO together with GU (coordinated travel) then rested while GU moved away >5m	-	-	-
15:18	15:19	KO approached SI, SI vocalized (kiss-squeak) and GU approached her fast, KO tried to bite SI, GU approached KO, but KO did not move away, KO just sniffed and touched SI (no more biting)	unsuccessfull attempt	-	-
15:20	15:24	GU between KO and SI, SI moved away kiss squeaking, GU followed her and stayed above her	-	-	-
15:25	15:26	GU approached SI and KO, GU and SI very close (almost in body contact), both rest, KO >2m	-	-	-
15:27		Suddenly KO bit SI twice, SI screamed, GU displayed - shook branches, KO moved away a 2m, SI approached GU to body contact	single and short, just KO	by KO: bite wound on the hand	10 sec
15:28		GU moved away 5m, KO tried to bit SI's leg, SI screamed, GU came back and KO stopped	single and short, just KO	no injuries	5 sec
15:29	15:32	KO bit SI again, SI screamed, SO hit KO in the head and pushed her head away while she tried to bit SI's hand, KO sniffed SI's genitals, tried to touch SO and then bit SI's foot; SO hit KO in the head again; SI screamed GU approached and KO moved 3 m away	twice single and short, just KO; one unsuccessful attempt	by KO: bite wound on the foot	10 sec
15:33		KO tried to bite SI, SO hit KO 3 times	unsuccessful attempt	no injuries	-
15:34		GU still above SI, GU moved 3m away from SI, immediately KO approached SI, GU watched KO	-	-	-
15:36	15:37	KO attacked SI again, GU displayed shaking the tree, he was 3m away from SI and KO, KO immediately stopped and moved> 5 m away from SI	single, just KO	no injuries	10 sec
15:38		SI rests			-
15:39	15:40	GU approached SI, KO moved away, GU did not chase KO was not aggressive towards her, but his presence kept her away from SI, EK still within <50m. GU displayed when EK approached to <20m	absent	absent	-
15:41	15:47	GU moved toward EK but when KO approached SI GU returned to SI right away, GU stayed near SI			



15:48	15:54	GU rested between SI and KO			
15:55		KO tried to grab SI, SO slapped KO			
15:56		GU displayed by shaking tree next to SI and KO, then he rested			
16:00		All seemed quiet, KO fed on liana, SI moved away slowly, EK still < 20m fed on liana too.			
16:01		GU moved towards EK but only 6m away from SI, EK just 10m away from GU			
16:05		GU climbed towards KO, KO moved higher up			
16:14		GU passed above SI, KO stayed at distance			
16:19	16:36	SI rested, SO sucked and groomed SI's wounds, drunk the blood dripping from deep wound in her arm, he clung all the time and sucked all her major wounds, KO < 5m away watched SI and SO.			
16:36	16:42	SO again cleaned wound on SI's leg and drunk the blood, SI moved away from KO, KO did not follow her, GU still close, EK >10 m away but still in association, SI moved away from GU but stayed within 10 m distance			
16:43		GU approached SI <5m	absent	absent	-
16:44		SI started feeding, SO sucked blood from SI's wounds			
16:45	16:50	SI built a night nest, KO and GU still fed, EK still <50m away			
16:52		KO built her night nests 30m away from SI			
17:08		GU gave a longcall towards EK who was <50m away			
17:22	17:27	GU built night nest halfway between SI and KO; EK made nest last at 20-50m from all the others			

---